

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/065,233 09/26/2002 Karl A. Clausen PU2117 9434 23454 7590 04/05/2004 EXAMINER CALLAWAY GOLF COMPANY PASSANITI, SEBASTIANO 2180 RUTHERFORD ROAD CARLSBAD, CA 92008-7328 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3711

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • • • • • | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|-----------------------------|
| | 10/065,233 | CLAUSEN ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Sebastiano Passaniti | 3711 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on see detailed Office action. | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Attachment(s) | A) \[\begin{array}{c} \langle | (DTO 442) |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) | te |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal Pa | atent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/16 and 07/24/04</u> . | o) | |

Application/Control Number: 10/065,233

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is responsive to communication received 07/16/2003 – IDS; 07/24/2003 – IDS.

This application is a CIP of 10/065,147, filed 09/20/2002.

Claims 1-11 are pending.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-11 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/065,147. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the only difference between the claimed invention of the '147 application and the instant claims is that the claimed invention of the '147 application omits a further recitation that the width of the sole range from 0.060 inch to 1.50 inches in both claims 1 and 11. Moreover, the claimed invention presented in claims 7, 8 and 10 of the '147 application differs from the claimed invention detailed in claims 7, 8 and 10 of the present application in that the '147

Application/Control Number: 10/065,233

Art Unit: 3711

application recites slightly different % values for the volume percentage of the central member and the mass percentage of the club head. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to include a sole dimension in the '147 application, as the instant claimed sole width sets forth a range that is typical for iron-type club heads. The skilled artisan would have found it obvious to vary the sole width based upon specific golfing parameters. For example, irons in a set of clubs often vary in sole width based upon the shaft length and loft angle of the club head, this in response to varying requirements for the follow-through portion of a swing based upon the desired ball trajectory and optimal flight distance of a struck ball. Moreover, the sole width will affect the drag on the club and thus the skilled artisan would have found it obvious to vary the width within a range to adjust the amount of drag. Additionally, the skilled artisan would have found it obvious to vary the mass percentage of the club head and the volume percentage of the central member of the '147 device based upon specific weight requirements for an individual golfer.

With respect to claims 2-6 and 9, of the instant application, note claims 2-6 and 9, respectively, of the pending '147 application, wherein the limitations presented in the claimed device of each application are identical.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Enclosed with this Office action is a sample terminal disclaimer which is effective to overcome a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection over a pending application (37 CFR 1.321(b) and (c)).

Application/Control Number: 10/065,233

Art Unit: 3711

Also enclosed is a sample Statement Under 37 CFR 3.73(b) (Form PTO/SB/96) which an assignee may use in order to ensure compliance with the rule. Part A of the Statement is used when there is a single assignment from the inventor(s). Part B of the Statement is used when there is a chain of title. The "Copies of assignments..." box should be checked when the assignment document(s) (set forth in part A or part B) is/are not recorded in the Office, and a copy of the assignment document(s) is/are attached. When the "Copies of assignments..." box is checked, either the part A box or the part B box, as appropriate, must be checked, and the "Reel_____, Frame_____" entries should be left blank. If the part B box is checked, and copies of assignments are not included, the "From:______ To:_____" blank(s) must be filled in. This statement should be used the first time an assignee seeks to take action in an application under 37 CFR 3.73(b), e.g., when signing a terminal disclaimer or a power of attorney.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Swanson shows a typical sole width (Figure 3).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sebastiano Passaniti whose telephone number is 703-308-1006. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sebastiano Passaniti Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711 Page 5

S.Passaniti/sp March 19, 2004